



Performance and Serum Hepatic Enzymes of Hy-Line W-36 Laying Hens Intoxicated with Dietary Carbon Tetrachloride

Hadavi A, Kermanshahi H, Nassiri Moghaddam H & Golian A

Abstract

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agricultural, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

Poultry Science Journal 2015, 3 (2): 159-164

Keywords

Laying hen Performance Liver damage Carbon tetrachloride

Corresponding author Hassan Kermanshahi kermansh@um.ac.ir

Article history

Received: August 22, 2015 Revised: November 25, 2015 Accepted: December 9, 2015

An experiment was conducted to study the effects of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) on post-peak performance and serum enzymes of Hy-Line W-36 laying hens from 32-36 weeks of age. The experiment was carried out with a total of 192 laying hens in a completely randomized block design. During the experiment laying hens were allocated to 4 groups consisted of T₁) no CCl₄ as control diet, T₂, T₃ and T₄) control diet supplemented with 1, 3 and 5 mL CCl₄/100 g diet, respectively. Each experimental group was divided into 6 blocks of 8 hens each. Egg production, cracked egg percentage and feed intake were recorded weekly. Blood samples were taken from wing veins of hens at the middle and end of the experiment to measure serum hepatic enzymes of alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase. Data showed that in comparison with the control group, the inclusion of CCl4 to the diets had no significant effect on performance parameters. However, by increasing the level of CCl_4 , egg production was linearly decreased and feed intake was linearly increased (P < 0.05). The effect of CCl₄ on cracked eggs was significant and this effect was linearly increased (P < 0.05). Dietary supplementation of 3 and 5 mL CCl₄ elevated the serum concentration of hepatic enzymes of alkaline phosphatase, aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase, linearly (P < 0.0001). In conclusion, the dietary supplementation of CCl₄ has the ability to decrease the performance and egg quality. CCl₄ is also a potent hepatic toxicity inducer and may damage liver hepatocytes. Therefore, the level of 3 mL CCl₄ was assigned as the one had the maximum negative effect on serum hepatic enzymes concentration (maximum liver damage) alongside the minimum negative effect on laying hen performance for further studies.

Introduction

In the modern poultry production, the birds are exposed to different stressful factors. Oxidative stresses produce free radicals suppressing the bird performance by disordering the body homeostasis. The liver is the best tissue to evaluate the oxidant-induced oxidative stresses. CCl₄ is an important service today as a toxic agent model to induce oxidative stress on animals leading to liver cirrhosis and fibrosis (Tsukamoto *et al.*, 1990).

Please cite this article as: Hadavi A, Kermanshahi H, Nassiri Moghaddam H & Golian A. 2015. Performance and serum hepatic enzymes of Hy-Line W-36 laying hens intoxicated with dietary carbon tetrachloride. Poult. Sci. J. 3 (2): 159-164.

Carbon tetrachloride on laying hens

The liver is the principal site of detoxification of CCl₄ and the increase in serum concentration of alkaline phosphatase (ALP), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) is caused by hepatocyte injury, resulting from necrosis or changes in cell membrane permeability, and can be attributed to the liver dysfunction (Tenant, 1997). Liver cell injury induced by CCl4 involves initially the conversion of CCl₄ by the cytochromes P-450 of cells metabolite hepatic to an active (trichloromethyl, CCl₃), which is a highly reactive free radical. Then trichloromethyl free radical reacts with oxygen and is converted to proxy trichloromethyl $(CCl_3OO).$ Proxy trichloromethyl attacks the endoplasmic reticulum membrane and causes lipid peroxidation, loss of cellular calcium, decreased protein synthesis, increased liver enzymes, and eventual destruction of the liver cells (Panovska et al., 2007). With the loss of cell membrane components, enzymes leak so that intracellular fluid increases (Weber et al., 2003). Khorramshahi et al. (2014) demonstrated that CCl₄ injection caused liver toxicity in Japanese quails and damaged liver cells by causing the formation of bubble-like structures in the liver tissue, shrinking of the sinusoid space and inflammation in parts of the liver parenchyma as well as an abnormality of the hepatic artery and bile duct in liver tissue. The similar liver damage by CCl₄ was reported on broilers (Sonkusale et al., 2011; Nateghi et al., 2013) and mice (Robjohns, 2009).

The effects of CCl₄ in such mammals as mice, rats, rabbits and guinea pigs have been described in great detail, but there are limited researches of CCl₄ effects on non-mammalian species, such as the laying hens. Thus, the aim of this experiment was to investigate the effects of dietary supplementation of different levels of CCl₄ on laying hens performance to find the level of CCl₄ which had the maximum increasing effect on serum hepatic enzymes concentration alongside the minimum negative effect on laying hens performance. This level will be used for later studies when CCl₄ is needed to induce hepatotoxicity in laying hens.

Materials and Methods

Laying hens, diets and management

The experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran. During the

Poultry Science Journal 2015, 3 (2): 159-164

experiment (32-36 weeks of age), a total number of 192 Hy-Line W-36 laying hens with the uniform body weights were allocated to 4 experimental groups consisted of T₁: no CCl₄ as control diet, T2, T3 and T4: control diet supplemented with 1, 3 and 5 mL CCl₄/100 g diet (99.9% purity, Merck, Germany), respectively, in a completely randomized block design to induce chronic damage in the liver. Each experimental group was divided into 6 blocks consisting of 8 hens each (8 birds/2-cage unit per block). The laying hens were fed to match the requirements recommended by the Hy-Line W-36 recommendations (Hy-Line International, 2005). The ingredients and chemical composition of the basal diet are shown in Table 1. Laying hens were housed in standard battery cages with dimensions of 40 × 40 cm, equaling 1600 cm² of floor space. With 4 hens per cage, each bird had approximately 400 cm² of floor space. Each cage was equipped with a feeding trough and nipple drinkers and hens had free access to feed and water. During the study, the hens received a constant lighting regimen of 16 hrs light: 8 hrs darkness and the temperature was set at 21°C.

Table	1.	The	ingredients	and	chemical
compos	sitior	n of the	basal diet fed	l to lay	ing hens

	a to my mg meno
Ingredients	g/kg
Corn	500.0
Wheat	181.0
Soybean meal, 44% protein	190.0
Soya oil	10.0
CaCo ₃	94.0
Dicalcium phosphate	15.0
Salt	3.0
Vitamin and mineral premix ¹	5.0
DL-Methionine	2.0

Calculated analysis (%, unless otherwi	se noted)
ME, kcal/kg	2745
СР	15.30
Met	0.34
Met + Cys	0.60
Lys	0.66
Thr	0.50
Ava. P	0.40
Ca	3.90
Na	0.18

¹Supplied per kilogram of diet: vitamin A, 10000 IU; vitamin D₃, 9790 IU; vitamin E, 121 IU; vitamin K₂, 2 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.02 mg; thiamin, 4 mg; riboflavin, 4.4 mg; niacin, 22 mg; pyridoxine, 4 mg; biotin, 0.03 mg; folic acid, 1 mg; Capantothenate, 40 mg; choline chloride, 840 mg; ethoxyquin, 0.125 mg; Zn, 65 mg; Mn, 75 mg; Cu, 6 mg; Se, 0.2 mg; I, 1 mg; Fe, 75 mg.

Sample collection and measurements

Egg production and cracked eggs were recorded daily and expressed as weekly basis. Feed intake for each replicate was determined from the difference of feed offered and feed weighed back during each week.

Blood samples were taken from the wing veins of the hens at the middle and end of the experiment (32 and 36 weeks, respectively) to measure serum concentration of hepatic enzymes of ALP, ALT and AST. Blood samples were transferred to tubes and kept at room temperature to clot. Blood serum samples were then centrifuged at 2,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C to separate the impurity of the samples. The separated serum samples were kept at -20°C for later measurement of hepatic enzymes of ALP, ALT and AST by an autoanalyzer (Selectra E vital scientific, Dieren, Netherlands).

Statistical analysis

Prior to analysis, all of the percentage data were normalized by subjecting to arc sine transformation. As the cage represented the experimental unit, the effects of dietary supplementation of CCl₄ on laying hens performance was statistically analyzed in a completely randomized block design using the GLM procedure of SAS (2001). Treatment means were compared using Tukey's multiple range test. A value of P < 0.05 was considered significant. Orthogonal polynomial contrasts were used to test the linear, quadratic and cubic effects of the increasing levels of CCl₄ supplementation.

Results

The effects of dietary supplementation of CCl₄ on laying hens' egg production, cracked egg percentage and feed intake are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Data showed that in comparison with the control group, although the inclusion of CCl₄ to the diets had no significant effect on performance parameters, but 5 mL CCl₄ increased (P < 0.05) total cracked eggs. By increasing the level of CCl₄, egg production was linearly decreased (P < 0.05) while feed intake and cracked eggs were linearly increased (P < 0.05).

Table 2. Effects of dietary supplementation of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) on egg production of laying hens from 32-36 weeks of age

Treatments		E	gg production ((%)	
Treatments	wk33	wk34	wk35	wk36	wk32-36
Control	86.2	89.6	89.0	89.0	88.6
1 mL CCl ₄ /100 g diet	83.4	87.5	86.9	87.5	86.6
$3 \text{ mL CCl}_4/100 \text{ g diet}$	82.6	85.4	86.9	84.0	85.0
$5 \text{ mL CCl}_4/100 \text{ g diet}$	81.7	80.6	86.1	82.4	83.2
SEM	1.67	3.73	2.56	3.46	1.77
Source of variation (P-values)					
Treat	0.283	0.393	0.870	0.531	0.209
Linear	0.071	0.102	0.457	0.155	0.039
Quadratic	0.576	0.717	0.810	0.981	0.950
Cubic	0.785	0.871	0.802	0.816	0.945
Block	0.496	0.565	0.245	0.698	0.629

^{a,b}Means in each column with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 3. Effects of dietary supplementation of carbon	tetrachloride (CCl ₄)	on cracked eggs of laying
hens from 32-36 weeks of age		

Treatments		С	racked Eggs (%)		
Treatments	wk33	wk34	wk35	wk36	wk32-36
Control	2.38	7.14	5.55	0	3.77 ^b
1 mL CCl4/100 g diet	3.33	7.50	3.33	3.33	4.37 ^b
3 mL CCl4/100 g diet	7.50	8.93	8.50	4.76	7.42 ^{ab}
5 mL CCl4/100 g diet	11.27	12.10	12.30	9.02	11.17ª
SEM	3.31	3.26	3.70	2.78	1.61
Source of variation (P-values)					
Treat	0.249	0.701	0.383	0.190	0.020
Linear	0.055	0.280	0.147	0.037	0.003
Quadratic	0.676	0.671	0.430	0.796	0.347
Cubic	0.810	0.964	0.603	0.709	0.813
Block	0.999	0.253	0.790	0.632	0.321
^{,b} Means in each column with different s	superscripts are si	gnificantly differe	ent ($P < 0.05$).		

Tuestmente		Feed ir	ntake (g/hen/da	y)	
Treatments	wk33	wk34	wk35	wk36	wk32-36
Control	96.8	105.3	100.2	101.2	100.8
1 mL CCl ₄ /100g diet	96.8	105.6	100.9	102.9	101.7
3 mL CCl ₄ /100g diet	97.4	106.4	102.6	104.7	103.1
5 mL CCl ₄ /100g diet	98.7	107.9	104.2	104.4	104.0
SEM	2.17	2.49	2.01	2.38	0.99
Source of variation (P-values)					
Treat	0.915	0.880	0.500	0.724	0.149
Linear	0.525	0.449	0.141	0.305	0.026
Quadratic	0.771	0.812	0.834	0.685	0.982
Cubic	0.989	0.975	0.908	0.841	0.824
Block	0.541	0.617	0.718	0.363	0.341

Table 4. Effects of dietary supplementation of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) on feed intake of laying hens from 32-36 weeks of age

^{a,b}Means in each column with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

The effects of dietary supplementation of CCl_4 on laying hens serum concentration of hepatic enzymes are presented in Table 5. Dietary supplementation of 3 and 5 mL CCl_4

linearly elevated (P < 0.05) the serum concentration of hepatic enzymes of ALP, AST and ALT, while 1 mL CCl₄ had no significant effect on the serum concentration of enzymes.

Table 5. Effects of dietary supplementation of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) on serum concentration of hepatic enzymes in laying hens at 34 and 36 weeks of age

Treatments	ALP ¹		AL	ALT ²		AST ³	
Treatments	wk34	wk36	Wk32	Wk36	Wk32	Wk36	
Control	963.0 ^b	2064.8 ^b	4.66 ^b	3.33 ^b	129.6 ^b	135.3 ^b	
1 mL/100 g CCl ₄	1035.0 ^b	2077.3 ^b	5.00 ^b	3.50 ^b	133.3 ^b	139.6 ^b	
3 mL/100 g CCl ₄	1225.5ª	3347.3ª	7.00 ^a	5.16 ^a	169.3ª	176.0 ^a	
$5 \text{ mL}/100 \text{ g CCl}_4$	1256.5ª	3160.2 ^a	6.66 ^a	5.00 ^a	165.1ª	172.1ª	
SEM	35.93	174.84	0.304	0.360	1.661	5.020	
Source of variation (P-values)							
Treat	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.003	0.0001	0.0001	
Linear	0.0001	0.001	0.001	0.0009	0.0001	0.0001	
Quadratic	0.172	0.576	0.290	0.650	0.032	0.428	
Cubic	0.024	0.003	0.010	0.056	0.0001	0.005	
Block	0.338	0.663	0.099	0.990	0.977	0.979	

^{a-b}Means in each column with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

¹Alkaline phosphatase; ²Alanine aminotransferase; ³Aspartate aminotransferase.

Discussion

This study indicated that linear dose response of CCl₄ on egg production, feed intake and cracked eggs was significant. By increasing the level of CCl₄ from 1 to 3 and 5 mL/100 g diet, egg production was decreased and feed intake and cracked eggs were increased. It has been shown that the toxins destruct the epithelial cells of the intestinal wall and change the intestinal ecosystem leading to the suppressed performance in laying hens (Applegate *et al.*, 2009).

In this study, dietary supplementation of CCl_4 significantly changed the serum concentration of hepatic enzymes in laying hens. These results were in agreement with those of Khorramshahi *et al.* (2014) who reported that the Japanese quails

treated with CCl₄ intraperitoneally showed an increment (P < 0.05) in the serum concentration of hepatic enzymes of ALP, AST and ALT. Sonkusale et al. (2011) and Nateghi et al. (2013) showed that inclusion of CCl4 to broilers diets increased hepatic enzymes levels. ALT is present in the cytoplasm of liver cells while AST exit in the mitochondria. ALP is also present in the liver. CCl₄-induced liver damage stimulates defective hepatic metabolic function, resulting in increased serum concentration of hepatic enzymes of ALP, AST and ALT (Mandrekar and Szabo, 2009) which are the main indicators of liver damage. Mansour (2000) and Ali et al. (2010) reported that CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity in mice manifested biochemically by significant elevation of activities

of liver functions, such as ALT and AST.

Previous studies have shown that poultry, unlike other laboratory animals such as mice, are resistant to necrogenic effects of CCl₄-induction. This lack of sensitivity in poultry is for this reason that their liver does not activate CCl4 to active metabolites, including free radicals of CCl3-. This low capacity for CCl4 activation might be due to a lower content of cytochrome P-450 in the liver of poultry compared with more susceptible species such as mice. Cytochrome P-450 plays a key role in CCl₄ activation and other toxins to active metabolites in hepatocytes. These metabolites, in turn, react with lipids and proteins and eventually cause liver damage (Slater, 1966; Diaz Gomez et al., 1975). However, new researches reported higher sensitivity to the necrogenic effects of CCl₄ in broilers and Japanese quails than those of previous studies (Nateghi, 2011; Sonkusale et al., 2011; Samadi et al., 2015). This is probably due to the nowadays genetic manipulation and selection of the mentioned birds resulting in the lowered immunity leads to the less resistance to CCl₄.

Conclusion

The dietary supplementation of CCl₄ tended to suppress performance and elevated secretion of hepatic enzymes of ALP, AST and ALT into the blood of laying hens. The level of 3 mL CCl₄ was assigned as the one that had the maximum increasing effect on serum hepatic enzymes concentration (the maximum liver damage) alongside the minimum negative effect on laying hen performance. Increased serum concentration of hepatic enzymes is the main indicator of liver damage and can be used to assess the hepatoprotective effects of different additives in both *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran for providing the support of this study.

References

Ali SA, Faddah L, Abdel-Baky A & Bayoumi A. 2010. Protective effect of L-carnitine and coenzyme Q10 on CCl4-induced liver injury in rats. Scientia Pharmaceutica, 78: 881-896. [Link]
Applegate TJ, Schatzmayr G, Prickel K, Troche C & Jiang Z. 2009. Effect of aflatoxin culture on intestinal function and nutrient loss in laying hens. Poultry Science, 88: 1235-1241. [Link]

- Díaz Gómez MI, de Castro CR, D'Acosta N, de Fenos OM, Ferreyra EC & Castro JA. 1975. Species differences in carbon tetrachlorideinduced hepatotoxicity: The role of CCl₄ activation and of lipid peroxidation. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 34: 102-114. [Link]
- Hy-Line International. 2005. Hy-Line W-36 Commercial Management Guide. Hy-Line Int., West Des Moines, IA. [Link]
- Khorramshahi M, Samadi F & Ganji F. 2014. The effects of *Cynara scolymus* L. on carbon tetrachloride induced liver toxicity in Japanese quail. International Journal of Agricultural Science, 4: 362-369. [Link]
- Mandrekar P & Szabo G. 2009. Signaling pathways in alcohol-induced liver inflammation. Journal of Hepatology, 50: 1258-1266. [Link]
- Mansour MA. 2000. Protective effect of thymoquinone and desferrioxamine against hepatotoxicity of carbon tetrachloride in mice. Life Sciences, 66: 2583-2591. [Link]
- Nateghi R. 2011. The effect of artichoke on liver histopathology and blood parameters of broilers. M.Sc. thesis. Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources.
- Nateghi R, Samadi F, Ganji F & Zerehdaran S. 2013. Hepatoprotective effects of *Cynara scolymus* L. extract on CCl₄ induced liver injury in broiler chickens. International Journal of AgriScience, 3: 678-688. [Link]
- Panovska TK, Kulevanova S, Gjorgoski I, Bogdanova M &Petrushevska G. 2007. Hepatoprotective effect of the ethyl acetate extract of *Teucrium polium* L. against carbontetrachloride-induced hepatic injury in rats. Acta Pharmaceutica, 57: 241-248.[Link]
- Robjohns S. 2009. Carbon tetrachloride toxicological overview. Health Protection Agency, 1-11.
- Samadi F, Poorkhanjar A. Ganji F & Samadi S. 2015. Effect of Chavir (*Ferulagoangulata*) powder on liver and blood parameters of Japanese quail intoxicated with CCl₄. Iranian Journal of Animal Science Research, 6: 342-350. (in Persian with English abstract, Page: 40). [Link]
- SAS Institute Inc. 2001. SAS User's Guide.Release 8.2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC. [Link]

- Slater TF. 1966. Necrogenic action of carbon tetrachloride in the rat: a speculative mechanism based on activation. Nature, 209: 36-40. [Link]
- Sonkusale P, Bhandarker AG, Kurkare NV, Ravikanth K, Maini S & Sood D. 2011. Hepatoprotective activity of superliv liquid and repchol in CCl₄ induced FLKS syndrome in broilers. International Journal of Poultry Science, 10: 49-55. [Link]
- Tenant BC. 1997. Hepatic function. In: KanekoJJ, Harvey JW & Bruss ML. (Eds). Clinical

Biochemistry of Domestic Animals. 5th ed. Academic Press, London. Pages, 327-352. [Link]

- Tsukamoto H, Matsuoka M & French SW. 1990. Experimental models of hepatic fibrosis: a review. Seminar in Liver Disease, 10: 56-65. [Link]
- Weber LW, Boll M & Stampfl A. 2003. Hepatotoxicity and mechanism of action of haloalkanes: carbon tetrachloride as a toxicological model. Journal of Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 33: 105-136. [Link]